Alderholt Community Fund Decision Matrix

Simply go through each assessment criteria and pick which of the 3 answers best describes the application (1, 2 or 3). Then take this number and multiply it by the weighting figure in the last column. Once you have gone through all of the criteria, add up the totals to determine the project's overall score. Max score = 300.

| | Criteria / Score | 1 | 2 | 3 | Weighting | Calculated Score |
|---|--|---|--|--|-----------|---------------------|
| 1 | Run by the community. The application demonstrates the scheme will generate community involvement, engagement and ownership throughout. | The application shows no evidence that the 'community' would be involved in the project's set up and operation. | The application shows minimal evidence that the community would be involved in the project's set up and operation. | The application clearly shows how the community have and will be involved in this project's set up and operation | 20 | |
| 2 | Where will benefit? The application demonstrates the project is within geographical proximity to the area designated as the funding area. | The project is a significant distance from the funding area and will not benefit those that live within it. | The project is outside of the designated funding area, but will still benefit those within it. | The project is within the designated funding area and will benefit those living in it. | 10 | |
| 3 | Run for the community. The application demonstrates the project will be operated to serve the community it set out to do so. | The application shows no evidence of being for the benefit of the community. | The application shows minimal evidence it is being undertaken for the benefit of the community. | The application shows that the projects primary aims are to benefit the community. | 30 | |
| 4 | Environmental benefit. The application demonstrates the scheme will bring benefit(s) to the environment. | The application for the project shows no evidence of benefiting the environment. | The application for the project shows some evidence of benefiting the environment. | The application shows the projects' primary aim is to benefit the environment. | 10 | |
| 5 | Monitoring success. The application demonstrates that the monitoring and reporting of the projects progress will happen. | The application shows no evidence of monitoring and reporting. | The application shows some intent to monitor and support. | The application shows monitoring and reporting have been suitably planned. | 10 | |
| 6 | Match funding / significant community fund raising. The application demonstrates the project is using matching funding / significant efforts by the community to raise funds. | The application shows no evidence of matching funding or significant fund raising. | The application shows some funds have been raised. | The application shows an acceptable amount of funds have been raised — match funding is achievable. | 20 | |
| | TOTAL | | | | 100 | |